

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/552,552	10/07/2005	Marc De Block	58764.000049	2007	
21967 7590 10/10/2008 HUNTON & WILLIAMS LLP			EXAM	EXAMINER	
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT			KUMAR, VINOD		
1900 K STREE SUITE 1200	ET, N.W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1109			1638		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/10/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/552,552	DE BLOCK, MARC	
Examiner	Art Unit	
VINOD KUMAR	1638	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 17 September 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

- 1. \(\) The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:
 - a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
 - b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.

Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension for under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set fort in (a) above, if checket. Any reply received by the Office lates the nat free months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filled, may reduce any semed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

NOTICE OF APPEAL

2. A brief in Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>17 September 2008</u>. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in CFR 41.37(a).

AMENDMENTS	

- Interproposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because

 (a) Interpraise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
 (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
 (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for
 - __ appeal; and/or
 - (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
- NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).

 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
- In earnements are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-32)
 Abolicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): ______.
- 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
- 7.
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)
 will not be entered, or b)
 will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
 The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) allowed: None.

Claim(s) objected to:

Claim(s) rejected: 9-16 (at Final).

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: ___

AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

- 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 OFR 1.116(e).
- 9. In the affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
- 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.
- REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER
- 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
- 12. Note the attached Information *Disclosure Statement*(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). ______ 13.

 Other:

/Phuong T. Bui/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1638 Continuation of 3. NOTE: Amendments to claims 9, 10 and 16 raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. For example claims 9 and 16 recite *40 consecutive nucleotides* and claim 10 recites *50 or 100 consecutive nucleotides* which raise new issues under 35 USC 112, ¹⁴ paragraph that would require further consideration and/or search. It is noted that manendment filed 9/17/08 has overcome the objection to claim 14. However, the objection is maintained because the amendment is not entered.